

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/767,522	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Emily M. Lloyd	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

**All Participants:**

(1) Emily M. Lloyd.

(2) Charles Schmal.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2008

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussion regarding the amendment of claim 32 regarding a 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection, an objection to claim 49, and clarification of some specification changes.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)